

What You Need To Know - How NGO's are Impacting Wildlife and Land Use

Hunters and Anglers Aid in Wildlife Conservation

Hunting and fishing are not only a beloved pastime to 11.5 million Americans but these activities also aid in wildlife conservation and wildland preservation.

Duck Stamp

According to the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Duck Stamp, which is required as a license for waterfowl hunting, helps protect and restore habitat for migratory waterfowl and other birds and wildlife. Ninety-eight cents of every dollar spent on Duck Stamps go directly to purchase waterfowl habitat or acquire conservation easements within the National Wildlife Refuge System. Since 1934, almost 6 million acres of habitat have been conserved with the help of Duck Stamp funds.



Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act

Additionally, state wildlife agencies and the country's wildlife conservation system are heavily dependent on sportsmen for funding. The Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act, also known as the Pittman-Robertson Act, was passed in 1937. License fees and excise taxes on guns, ammunition and angling equipment generate money

that provides about 60 percent of the funding for state wildlife agencies, which manage most of the wildlife in the U.S. It funds a range of conservation programs like habitat restoration and hunter safety courses. Since it began in 1937, it has raised over \$14 billion.

More information regarding the Pittman-Robertson Act can be found here https://protecttheharvest.com/news/hunting-america-wildlife-management/

As urbanization changes our land, population management of several species of animals like deer and antelope aids in maintaining a balance within the ecosystem.

For these reasons, we support responsible and ethical hunting and fishing in the U.S.

Animal Extremist Groups Impact on Hunting and Fishing

It's no surprise that animal extremist activists don't like hunting or fishing. However, you may be surprised to know that the Humane Society of The United States (HSUS) is one of the nation's most outspoken anti-hunting organizations. Wayne Pacelle, former CEO of HSUS, previously stated: "If we could shut down all sport hunting in a moment, we would."

The HSUS continually trumpets their so-called 'successes' in restricting the rights and freedoms of American hunters and anglers. An entire retelling of HSUS's anti-hunting and anti-fishing policies would be too long to list, but here's just a few current examples of HSUS's activities:

- Encourage the use of deer-contraceptives instead of hunting
- (Unsuccessfully) Attempting to outlaw wolf hunting in Michigan
- (Unsuccessfully) Seeking to ban bear hunting in Maine
- Strongly supported the effort to ban lead ammunition in California
- Have worked to ban lead fishing weights in several states, and have called for restrictions on deep-sea fishing and shark hunts

Animal Extremists Groups Impacting Land Use - HSUS is Wildlife Land Trust

The Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) has a sister organization called Wildlife Land Trust. The HSUS is using its considerable wealth to begin restricting the amount of land available to hunters.

Through its Wildlife Land Trust, HSUS entices people to donate conservation "easements" on their land. Under the terms of the agreement, the easements ban all hunting and trapping in perpetuity. (Thinking Afield, 31 May 2012). According to its website, the Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) has accumulated over two million acres of land in 39 states and nine foreign countries into its Wildlife Land Trust program, as of October 2018.



Animal Extremist Groups Impacting Other Endangered Species

Over the past decade, biologists from the Department of Fish and Wildlife in Oregon and Washington have been studying the effect of the sea lion's predation on the salmon population. Their studies have shown that salmon, steelhead and sturgeon populations are declining due to sea lion predation. Columbia River salmon are not only on the Endangered Species List but a keystone species within this region. This means that their numbers are already in dire need of assistance but also that the loss of the species would be detrimental to the entire ecosystem.

Because of this, the Department of Fish and Wildlife has tried several different options to deter the sea lions from loitering at the Bonneville Dam, where the fish are most vulnerable. They have tried relocating the sea lions, hazing techniques like disruptive sounds and all to no avail. Therefore, in an effort to help preserve the fish's population they have recommended culling a small number of sea lions as a final option.

In response, the Humane Society of the United States has sued the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife. This is in pursuit of their animal extremist agenda which completely disregards the far-reaching consequences of the salmon's population decline. The salmon, steelhead and sturgeon are important food sources for other species like the killer whale which is also endangered. The HSUS' decision to block the Dept. of Fish and Wildlife's plan to save these fish ultimately prioritizes their wellbeing over the entire ecosystem and the other endangered species within it. This is just another example of them pushing their agenda over helping actual animals in need.

For additional information about the sea lion issue visit our website.

Animal Extremism and Non-Governmental Organizations Groups Impacting our American Rangelands

Wild Horse and Burro Act of 1971

The Wild Horse and Burro Act of 1971 requires management plans to, "maintain a thriving natural ecological balance among wild horse populations, wildlife, livestock, and vegetation and to protect the range from the deterioration associated with overpopulation." The Act states that the land is required to be maintained for multiple use and contains provisions for the management and removal of horses as necessary:

"The Secretary shall maintain a current inventory of wild free-roaming horses and burros on given areas of the public lands. The purpose of such inventory shall be to: make determinations as to whether and where an overpopulation exists and whether action should be taken to remove excess animals; determine appropriate management levels of wild free-roaming horses and burros on these areas of the public lands; and determine whether appropriate management levels should be achieved by the removal or destruction of excess animals, or other options (such as sterilization, or natural controls on population levels)."

The verbiage for the Wild Horse and Burro Act of 1971 can be found on the BLM website under government files then programs then wild horse history.



Recurring Lawsuits and Protests By Animal Extremist and other NonGovernmental Organizations

While the Wild Horse and Burro Act of 1971 clearly gives the Secretary of the Interior the authority to make decisions and take management actions to protect horses on the rangelands, wildlife, the ecosystem, and to maintain multiple use, over the years animal extremist and other non-governmental organizations (NGO's) have thwarted management efforts at every turn. This is done via repeated protests and lawsuits to block gatherings, removals, population control methods and research studies. These NGO's have also grossly misled the public who are generally unaware of the condition of the rangelands. These groups have also launched massive marketing and advertising misinformation campaigns in order to generate public support and to garner donations for their different organizations. The result is a staggering animal welfare crisis for both rangeland horses and wildlife, an endangered damaged rangelands ecosystem and loss of use for both the general public and ranchers who own grazing allotments and water rights.

Please visit this article on our website for links to Information about Lawsuits Filed by NGO's.

The Impact of Overgrazing

Overgrazing impacts much more than the health and welfare of horses on the rangelands. It has a significant impact on the entire rangelands ecosystem. In addition to wiping out native grasslands, water sources become severely depleted or completely destroyed. A damaged ecosystem cannot support wildlife, rangeland horses or other livestock. In short, animals in these damaged areas die from starvation and dehydration.

When the rangelands become depleted, ranchers who hold grazing and water rights are restricted from grazing on their allotments. America's public lands are multi-use. They are intended for wildlife, habitat conservation, recreation, natural & cultural preservation, health & safety, resources and commercial use. Food is one of these resources and that is why the government encouraged settlement of our rangelands and awarded farmers and ranchers water and grazing rights.

For additional information:

We recommend searching Western Horseman magazine's website for their Mustangs in Crisis article.

The Range Rights and Resource Symposium website has great information and the talks from their seminars posted.

Facts About Wild Horses on American Rangelands

The current estimated on-range wild horse and burro population (as of March 1, 2020) is 95,114 animals. This is more than three times the appropriate management level (AML) recommended by wildlife biologists for a healthy ecosystem (AML is approximately 27,000 total for all Herd Management Areas – HMA's).

There are an additional 50,000 horses in government holding facilities costing taxpayers over \$50,000,000 per year to feed. With the horse herds doubling in size every 4-5 years and the rangelands already damaged and over-burdened, the BLM needs to have a variety of options available to properly manage them.

Wild Horse Population Information can be found on the Bureau of Land Management website under population-estimates.



Protect The Harvest Steps In – Wild Spayed Filly Futurity

Protect The Harvest has been a steady advocate for the multiple use of Federal lands since its beginning.

In 2017, Protect The Harvest arranged the purchase of twelve fillies from the BLM Wild Horse and Burro facility in Burns, Oregon. These fillies were spayed by a licensed veterinarian and handled for 30 days before being sold at the Reno Snaffle Bit Futurity that fall. The fillies and their trainers had the year to train in all three disciplines associated with reined cow horses and then went on to compete in the inaugural Wild Spayed Filly Futurity. Since then, 11 additional fillies were purchased and spayed and sold at the 2018 Reno Snaffle Bit Sale and competed in 2019.

Wild Spayed Filly Futurity - Program Goals

The goals of the Wild Spayed Filly Futurity are two-fold:

To help find economical, safe solutions in controlling the numbers of horses on American rangelands by demonstrating that the standing surgical spay is a viable, humane option for population control.

To showcase the significance and abilities of these resilient, tough and beautiful horses. It will also demonstrate their trainability and hopefully encourage more people to consider adopting a horse from our American rangelands.

Complete information about the Wild Spayed Filly Futurity as well as television episodes can be found on our website under the Initiatives - Wild Spayed Filly Futurity tab.

